## Northern District of California

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

Petitioner,

VS.

JILL H. COFFMAN,

QUEEN OF THE VALLEY MEDICAL CENTER,

Respondent.

CASE No. 17-cy-05575-YGR

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR STAY OF INJUNCTION PENDING APPEAL

Re: Dkt. Nos. 34

The Court has reviewed the Administrative Motion of Respondent Queen of the Valley Medical Center ("OVMC") for Stay of Injunction Pending Appeal (Dkt. No. 34), seeking a stay pursuant to Rule 62(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Ninth Circuit Rule 27-3, and the response thereto. Having carefully considered the arguments therein and the record in this matter, the motion for stay is **DENIED**. The Court does not find that a stay is warranted.

QVMC's argument that it was not permitted to present evidence relative to the irreparable harm factor is not supported by the record. Petitioner submitted evidence of irreparable harm regarding loss of union support and unilateral changes, in the moving papers. It is this evidence upon which the Court relied in reaching its decision. In its response to the moving papers, QVMC characterized the evidence as insubstantial and disingenuous, but did not offer its own evidence on this factor. Although QVMC objected to the petitioner's rebuttal evidence on this factor, which objection the Court overruled, QVMC did not seek to present its own evidence at or before the hearing.<sup>1</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

This terminates Docket No. 34.

Dated: December 5, 2017

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

26 27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The health care benefits issue, to which QVMC refers in footnote 4 of the instant motion, concerned an upcoming open enrollment period, evidence of which was not part of the filings in the case and not discussed in the briefing. (11/21/17 Transcript 36:15-37:2.)